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LOTS OF COPIES KEEP STUFF SAFE
Preserving Society's Knowledge

Larry Niven and Jerry Pournelle

The Mote in God's Eye

Possibly the finest science fiction novel I have ever read
Robert A. Heinlein

Lots of copies keep stuff safe
Libraries: Robust Record

- Massively replicated, highly distributed
  - Collection policies mean more important more replicas

- Durable, write-once, tamper-evident media
  - Convincing fake printed books are hard & expensive

- Loosely coupled, independently administered
  - Failure of one library unlikely to affect others

- Failed slowly and gradually
  - Market in replicas = early warning of shortage
Libraries: Web Threat

- Web threatens library's role as memory
  - Many libraries used to own a copy, keep it in the stacks
  - Now they lease access to publisher's single copy
LOCKSS: Goals

• Restore libraries role as memory
  – Provide tools to collect & preserve Web content

• Revert to purchase model for content
  – Libraries continue to own copies of copyright content

• DMCA means need copyright permission
  – System must compromise between library & publisher

• What do publishers need to give permission?
  – Don't leak content, steal hits on content, re-brand content

• What do libraries need to build collections?
  – Local control of local copies of web content
  – Low cost-of-ownership collection & preservation
Practicalities

- **Goal**: minimal per-replica not per-byte cost
  - Don't ask “how few replicas do we need to be safe?”
  - Ask “how can many replicas reduce per-replica cost?”

- **Goal**: minimal barrier to entry
  - Librarians are risk-averse & impoverished
  - Enable learn-by-doing with cast-off hardware

- **Media only a small part of storage cost**
  - .36 media, .23 admin, .15 capital, .15 maint, .11 facility

- **Storage only small part of preservation cost**
  - 1hr of lawyer > 1TB of disk
Minimize Per-Replica Cost

- **Hardware**: consumer disks + generic PCs
  - Most library collections of published content not huge
  - E.g. all academic journals = 30-40TB

- **Software**: free, open-source
  - Re-use existing code as much as possible

- **Sysadmin**: de-skill via automation
  - Be fanatical about security of LOCKSS system
  - Package as network appliance – LOCKSS box
  - No backups – use replicas at other libraries

- **User education**: transparent content access
  - No need to educate users

**LOTS OF COPIES KEEP STUFF SAFE**
What Are 100s Of Replicas Good For?

- Many, not very reliable replicas are a given
  - Can they cooperate to increase reliability?
  - Without leaking content to non-subscribers?
- LOCKSS boxes continually audit each other
  - By voting in polls on the hashes of content items
  - Agree with majority? Content OK.
  - Disagree with majority? Request repair from majority
- Remember history of agreement with boxes
  - Give repair only if agreement in previous polls
  - Repair isn't a leak; it can only replace pre-existing copy
Threat Model

- Media failure
- Hardware failure
- Software failure
- Network failure
- Obsolescence
- Natural Disaster
Threat Model

- Media failure
- Hardware failure
- Software failure
- Network failure
- Obsolescence
- Natural Disaster
- Operator error
- External Attack
- Insider Attack
- Economic Failure
- Organization Failure
Example: Disks

- Manufacturers specifications:
  - $10^6$ hours MTTF
  - $10^{-14}$ unrecoverable bit error rate

- Schroeder & Pinheiro FAST '07 papers:
  - Field replacement rate 2-20 time MTTF
  - No "bathtub curve" of early failures
  - Enterprise disks 10x expensive, no more reliable
  - No correlation between temperature & failure
  - Significant autocorrelation – very bad for RAID
  - Significant long-range correlation
  - SMART data logging not useful for failure prediction
Example: Software

File system code is carefully written & tested:

- Iron File System (Prabhakaran 2005):
  - Fault injection using pseudo-driver below file system
  - Bugs and inconsistencies in ext3, JFS, ReiserFS, NTFS

- FiSC (Yang 2006):
  - Model checking of file system code
  - 33 severe bugs in ext3, JFS, ReiserFS, XFS
  - Could destroy / in each file system

- Take away message:
  - The more you look, the more you find
Example: Insider Attack

- E.g. alienated system administrator
  - Major cause of system compromise (Keeney 2005)
  - Despite being massively under-reported

- E.g. piper calling the tune
  - Suppression or rewriting by government or funder
  - Hansen testimony to Waxman committee

- Paper record was fairly tamper-evident
  - How do we make electronic record tamper-evident?
LOCKSS Overview

• Each library runs a “persistent web cache”
  – Cache is never flushed
  – Caches cooperate to detect and repair damage

• Preloaded by a crawler with selected content
  – Crawler must be very slow and careful
  – Natural overlap of library collections = replication

• Readers use LOCKSS box like cache
  – Box forwards request to publisher + IfModifedSince
  – OK, no reply, error = return preserved content
  – Otherwise return publisher content
Audit & Repair via Polls

• Poller box decides content needs auditing
  – Chooses timeframe, sample of boxes with content
  – Sends invitations to potential voter boxes

• If voter box schedules hashes in timeframe
  – Accepts invitation, waits for nonce from poller
  – Choose voter nonce, hashes nonces + content
  – Sends vote to poller, waits for receipt

• Poller hashes nonces + content, tallies votes
  – If disagree with majority, request repairs
  – Send receipts to voters
 Formats

• LOCKSS is format agnostic
  – Collect and preserve any format delivered via HTTP
  – Content must be quasi-static
    • I.e. all viewers see the same important parts
    • Ads, etc. filtered by plugin before hashing

• LOCKSS supports format migration
  – Preserves only the original bits from the publisher
  – HTTP format negotiation to identify obsolete format
  – Trigger format converter to get temporary access copy
  – Deliver to browser with appropriate mime-type
    • I.e. not the obsolete one it was collected with
Deployment

• Went live 2004, 50 libraries.
• Now about 200 libraries worldwide
  – 6-weekly daemon releases, 6-monthly platform releases
• Now about 200 publishers worldwide
  – Weekly content releases of 100s of volumes
• Most publishers OK to ingest back content
  – Startup transient load >> sustained load
• System is low-maintenance, transparent
  – Easy to support, but easy to take for granted
Other Genres Of Content

• Open-Access
  – Specially important in the humanities
  – Eg: World Haiku Review rescued from LOCKSS

• Federal & State Documents
  – Eg: Secrecy News & its FOIA'ed documents

• Special Collections
  – Eg: MetaArchive of Southern Culture (NDIIPP project)

• Blogs – basic Blogger plugin just released
  – Eg: blog.dshr.org
Measuring Performance

- Long-term storage is a big market
  - Without a performance benchmark!
  - Benchmarks drive mature tech markets

- My suggested benchmark: bit half-life
  - Look at a bit in a storage system
  - How long until 50% chance it has flipped?

- Technology cost/performance axes
  - Cost: $/bit/yr
  - Performance: bit half-life
Petabyte for a Century

- Suppose need to keep petabyte for century
  - With 50% chance of every bit surviving undamaged
  - Now that's big, in 100 years its $10^{-9}$ of a hard drive

- 0.8 exabit-year with 50% survival unimpaired
  - Consider possibility of bit rot affecting the system
  - Radioactivity analogy, small probability of bit flip
  - Bit half-life $0.8 \times 10^{18}$ yr = ~100M times age of universe

- Can we test that systems are this reliable?
  - Watch exabyte for year, see ~5 bit flips? Not feasible.
  - Requirement is ~10,000 times our ability to test
  - CERN tests see ~10,000 times higher bit flip rate
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